#### Before The

#### SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_

Docket No. ISM 35008

#### PETITION FOR SUSPENSION AND INVESTIGATION

Opening Comments of

NATIONAL MOTOR FREIGHT TRAFFIC ASSOCIATION, INC.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Paul G. Levine
Executive Director
National Motor Freight Traffic
Association, Inc.
1001 North Fairfax Street, Suite 600
Alexandria, VA 22314

Tel.: (703) 838-1822 Email: <a href="mailto:levine@nmfta.org">levine@nmfta.org</a> John R. Bagileo Law Office of John R. Bagileo 15292 Callaway Court Glenwood, MD 21738 Tel.: (301) 404-8382

Email: <u>imbagidell@msn.com</u>

Counsel for National Motor Freight

Traffic Association, Inc.

In its Decision served in this matter on August 12, 2016, the Surface Transportation Board (STB) denied the requests of the Transportation and Logistics Council, Inc. (T&LC) and the National Shippers Strategic Transportation Council (NASSTRAC) to suspend the revisions in the Uniform Straight Bill of Lading (USBOL), and the related Rules in Item 360-B, published in the National Motor Freight Classification (NMFC) and appearing in Supplement 2 to NMF 100-AP. Left open was the issue of whether the agency has authority to investigate the subject matter. Specifically, the STB requested that the Parties address whether 49 U.S.C. Section 13703(a)(5)(A), or 49 U.S.C. Section 14701, provides the STB with authority to undertake an investigation of the involved bill of lading revisions where there is not an approved Section 13703 agreement. Further, the Parties were requested to address any effect or impact the STB's prior decision in Motor Carrier Bureaus-Periodic Review Proceeding, EP 656 (STB served May 7, 2007), would have on whether the agency should investigate the changes to the Uniform Straight Bill of Lading at issue here. (STB Decision, p. 2.)

As set forth below, NMFTA respectfully submits that the STB does not have authority or jurisdiction to investigate the subject revisions either as a tariff matter or as a contract, or under the agency's general authority, as the involved USBOL provisions do not constitute a violation of any matter subject to the STB's jurisdiction. Lastly, the STB's prior decision in Motor Carrier Bureaus-Periodic Review Proceeding should have no effect or impact here because that agreement, as pertains to National Motor Freight Traffic Association, Inc., was solely related to the collective making of freight classifications by the carrier participants, and did not involve agency authority over the terms and conditions of the USBOL.

#### I. Court Jurisdiction Over the Uniform Straight Bill of Lading

Bills of lading have been in use for the transportation of goods by carriers since the sixteenth century. From that time until the present, the interpretation and enforcement of the terms and conditions of bills of lading have been vested exclusively in the courts. Moreover, it has been Congress, which through legislation, has interpreted and clarified the application of bills of lading. Such legislation is found in the Harter Act of 1893, Ch. 105, 27 Stat 445 (1893), currently at 46 U.S.C. Sections 190-196; the Uniform Bills of Lading Act, Section 23(b) (1909) (withdrawn in 1951); the Federal Bill of Lading (Pomerene) Act, Ch. 415, 39 Stat. 538-45 (1916), currently at 49 U.S.C. Sections 80101-80116; and the Carriage of Goods by Sea Act (COGSA), Ch. 229, 49 Stat. 1207 (1936), codified at 46 U.S.C. Sections 1300-1315.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Daniel E. Murray, History and Development of the Bill of Lading, 37 U. Miami L. Rev. 689 (1983).

The role of the bill of lading in the transportation of goods is well established. As is stated in West's Encyclopedia of American Law, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., The Gale Group, Inc. (2008):

Carriers using all modes of transportation issue bills of lading when they undertake the transportation of cargo. A bill of lading is, in addition to a receipt for the delivery of goods, a contract for their carriage and a document of title to them. Its terms describe the freight for transportation purposes, state the name of the consignor and the provisions for the contract for shipment and direct the cargo to be delivered to the order or assigns of a particular person, the consignee, at a designated location.<sup>2</sup>

The first motor carrier Uniform Straight Bill of Lading was published in National Motor Freight Classification LTL-1, effective April 1, 1936, by the American Trucking Associations, Inc., Tariff Bureau. A copy of that publication is appended to these comments. As can be seen, a number of the terms and conditions set forth almost 80 years ago in that document had not been updated or clarified since that publication.

## II. <u>The Subject Matter of the Uniform Straight Bill of Lading is Not Within the</u> Ambit of Section 13703(a)(5)(A) of 49 U.S.C.

The reference to an "agreement" in Section 13703(a)(5)(A), rather than an "approved agreement " found in Section 13703(a)(5)(B), is not a substantive difference regarding any authority of the STB to conduct an investigation. At the outset, it must be noted that the subject matters which are properly covered in the those agreements are identified in Sections 13703(a)(1)(A) through (H). These include through routes and joint rates; rates for the transportation of household goods; classifications; mileage guides; rules; divisions; rate adjustments of general application based on industry average carrier costs (so long as there is no discussion of individual markets or particular single-line rates); or procedures for the joint consideration, initiation, or establishment of matters described in subparagraphs (A) through (G). Unequivocally, the USBOL, which sets forth the contract terms and conditions of the motor carrier transporting the goods tendered, do not fall within any of those categories.

The decision of the former Interstate Commerce Commission in Investigation and Suspension Docket No. M-29788, <u>Charge for Shipments Moving on Order-Notify Bills</u>, 367 I.C.C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> As early as the decision in <u>Mason v. Lickbarrow</u> (1794) 1 H. Bl 359, at pp. 404-405, the bill of lading was identified as the contract of carriage. It concluded: "A bill of lading is the written evidence of a contract of carriage and delivery of goods sent by sea for a certain freight. The contract in legal language is a contract of bailment. In the usual form of the contract the undertaking is to deliver to the order or assigns of the shipper."

330 (1983), clearly established the scope of agency authority under Section 13703. It concluded:

Because of our own jurisdictional limitations, we could not have granted respondent immunity to promulgate general rules and regulations unrelated to classification. Our jurisdiction is neither unlimited nor coextensive with all the possible kinds of collective carrier activity that might form an antitrust violation. Under former section 5a(2) of the Interstate Commerce Act only six substantive areas were specifically approved for collective carrier activity. As the following quotation from section 5a(2) demonstrates, a rate bureau's authority to adopt rules and regulations is strictly an ancillary power:

\*\*\* rates, fares, classifications, divisions, allowances, or charges (including charges between carriers and compensation paid or received for the use of facilities and equipment), or rules and regulations pertaining thereto, or procedures for the joint consideration, initiation or establishment thereof \*\*\* (footnote omitted).

The use of the phrase "pertaining to" clearly indicates that the power to make rules and regulations is only to be used in conjunction with any of the six substantive areas properly the subject of ratemaking agreements. Accordingly, we could not have authorized a general power to make rules or regulations unrelated to classification. (367 I.C.C. at 333.)

The Interstate Commerce Commission's description of the nature of classification leaves no doubt that the Uniform Straight Bill of Lading is not classification. As the agency stated: "The primary purpose of a freight classification is to assign each article or groups of articles with comparable transportation characteristics to a class. Assignments are made according to well known classification principles which are based upon distinctions relative to transportability". (367 I.C.C. at 335.) Plainly, the contract terms and conditions in the Uniform Straight Bill of Lading, and Item 360-B relate solely to the USBOL, and not activities within Section 13703.

Further, the provisions of Section 13703 must be read together. Until such time as a collective agreement has been approved, and the parties are operating under its approved procedures, thereby promulgating a rate, rule, classification or rate adjustment of general application "made pursuant to an agreement under this section," the authority of the STB to determine the reasonableness of the proposal is not operative. The absence of the term "approved" in Section 13703(a)(5)(A) does not broaden the agency's authority to investigate rates, rules, classifications or rate adjustments of general application which have not been

established collectively under an approved agreement. As per Section 13701(a) of 49 U.S.C., the issue of rate reasonableness is limited to household goods movements, movements in the noncontiguous domestic trade, and rates, rules and classifications made collectively by motor carriers under agreements approved pursuant to Section 13703. In any event, the terms and conditions of the USBOL, and its related rules, are not matters within the scope of collective agreements.

## III. <u>The Uniform Straight Bill of Lading is Not Within the STB's General Authority Under Section 14701 of 49 U.S.C.</u>

Initially, as discussed above, carrier bills of lading are the products of the Federal Bill of Lading Act, and prior related federal legislation, and not the Interstate Commerce Act. When questions have arisen as to the legality of the terms and conditions of the bills of lading, including the USBOL, those matters appropriately have been resolved through the courts. The jurisdiction of the courts pertaining to bill of lading terms and conditions has been acknowledged by the Surface Transportation Board. In its decision in STB Docket No. ISM 35002, entitled Amend The Uniform Straight Bill of Lading and Accompanying Contract Terms and Conditions, decided July 29, 1998 (not printed), it stated: "As we noted in our December 24 [1997] decision, disputes regarding motor carrier liability and the enforcement of incorporated provisions must be resolved by the courts. In these circumstances we believe it is preferable that we take no position on either side of the issue at this time." (At p. 3.)

Moreover, assertion of authority over the Uniform Straight Bill of Lading would be inconsistent with Section 14101(b) of 49 U.S.C. which authorizes motor carrier contracts with shippers. Under Section 14101(b)(2) Congress specifically provided that the exclusive remedy for any breach of a contract initiated under that section shall be in an appropriate State court or United States district court, unless the parties otherwise agree. Accordingly, Congress made it clear that the courts or the parties were to have exclusive authority as to the resolution of contract disputes. Without question, the USBOL is and always has been a contract between the carrier and the shipper, and the courts have jurisdiction over disputes regarding its terms and conditions. To have the STB now assert authority to investigate the terms and conditions of the USBOL would be contrary to the jurisdiction which it has recognized is vested in the courts. Also, that assumption of authority by the agency, contrary to Congressional intent expressed in the Federal Bill of Lading Act and related legislation, would undermine the USBOL's role as a contract for carriage in the transportation community by subjecting it to regulatory restraints not imposed on Section 14101(b) contracts.

Nevertheless, NMFTA submits that nothing in Section 14701 creates any right in the agency to institute an investigation "in light of the fact that the agreement at issue was not approved by the Board." As indicated, and as has been long recognized, the terms and conditions of the USBOL are not among the six substantive areas which comprise the collective activities which can be the subject of a Section 13703 agreement. Therefore, there is no agreement at issue here which could be the subject of agency approval under Section 13703. Thus, such lack of approval has no bearing on the agency's investigative powers under Section 14701.

Further, as provided in Section 14701(a), the general authority of the agency to conduct investigations is related to the necessity "to compel compliance with this part." As shown, there are no provisions in that part, or any other part of the Interstate Commerce Act pertaining to motor carriers, violated by the subject revisions of the USBOL, or under the authority of the agency. Accordingly, the authority conferred on the STB under Section 14701(a) has no application in this matter.

## IV. <u>STB Prior Termination of the Rate Bureau Agreements Has No Bearing on the Institution of an Investigation into this Unrelated Matter</u>

The STB has requested that more detailed discussion be undertaken regarding the decision in Motor Carrier Bureaus-Periodic Review Proceeding, EP 656 (STB served May 7, 2007). The Parties are requested to address the effect of the decision and any impact it has on whether the Board should investigate the proposed changes in the Uniform Straight Bill of Lading.

NMFTA submits that the STB's decision in <u>Motor Carrier Bureaus-Periodic Review</u>

<u>Proceeding</u> has no effect on the USBOL's terms and conditions, and does not warrant the initiation of an investigation of those changes. That decision has no relevance here. As the STB concluded:

This termination of approval of bureau agreements does not affect beneficial bureau activities that do not come within the scope of activities covered under 49 U.S.C. 13703. Our approval and the resulting antitrust immunity applied only to those activities that are specified in section 13703(a)(1)(A)-(G). While we will not attempt to specify those bureau activities that fall outside of the section, we recognize the probability that there are such activities. (Decision, at p. 27.)

As shown above, the USBOL does not fall within any of the six categories of recognized activities which properly are the only areas included within Section 13703. The USBOL is not ratemaking or classification-making activity. As also addressed above, the USBOL is the product of the Federal Bill of Lading Act and related legislation, and not the Interstate Commerce Act. Accordingly, that decision presents no basis for the institution of an investigation here.

#### V. Today's Role of the Uniform Straight Bill of Lading in Motor Carrier Transportation

Today the role of the USBOL as the principal contract of carriage for those carriers participating in the National Motor Freight Classification has been overshadowed by the prevalence of shipper bills of lading, as well as those of individual motor carriers, including a number of NMFTA's member carriers. With the advent of motor carrier/shipper contracts under Section 14101(b), the vast majority of less-than-truckload shipments moving in interstate commerce, which constitute the primary source of the transportation services provided by NMFTA's members, are transported under motor carrier and/or shipper Section 14101(b) contracts. The USBOL has no application to the overwhelming majority of motor carriers operating in interstate commerce due to their non-participation in the NMFC.

In implementation of those alternative transportation contracts, numerous "model contracts" have been created within the entire transportation community, and are sponsored and/or available through various transportation organizations. American Trucking Associations Inc. has a model motor carrier/shipper agreement. The Transportation Intermediaries Association has a model broker/motor carrier agreement. Shipper organizations, including the Transportation & Logistics Council, Inc. (T&LC) and NASSTRAC, Inc. (NASSTRAC), make available to their members and others sample contract terms and conditions.<sup>3</sup>

As indicated, a number of the terms and conditions which existed in the USBOL prior to the current revisions first appeared in National Motor Freight Classification LTL-1, effective in 1936, and remained unchanged since that time. The legislative changes which have occurred in motor carrier transportation since that time, as well as the necessity for the clarification and revision of terms and conditions which became evident, underscored the need for the revisions which have been made. Just as is the situation with the members of other organizations which are free to use or not use, or modify, the model or sample contract terms and conditions,

6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> T&LC has available a publication entitled Motor Carrier Contracts Annotated, which indicates that its drafting philosophy is to be shipper friendly in that the terms are generally more favorable to a shipper than would be found on a carrier's own tariff terms and conditions. NASSTRAC indicates on its website that it has available for members transportation contract templates and a sample freight broker contract template.

NMFTA's 529 member motor carriers are able to use or not use the terms and conditions of the USBOL, accept shipper or broker bills of lading, or tailor their own.

Further, apart from the issue of the STB's authority to investigate the terms and conditions of the USBOL, it is believed that to do so is unwarranted by the revisions which have been made. As detailed in NMFTA's August 5, 2016 Response to the T&LC and NASSTRAC the changes are fully compliant with the law, and clarify antiquated terms which lacked adequate definition. Those matters will not be reargued here. But, it must be pointed out that regarding a major point of contention concerning released rates, the STB already has long concurred with NMFTA's interpretation of Section 14706(c)(1)(B). Section 5. (a) of the USBOL provides that, in addition to where a lower value is declared by the shipper, it can be established by the carrier itself in its tariff. NASSTRAC argued that such provision deviates from the statute. That is incorrect. As the STB concluded in its decision in STB Docket No. ISM 35002, Amend The Uniform Straight Bill Of Lading and Accompanying Contract Terms And Conditions, decided December 19, 1997 (not printed):

In our view, the statute permits carriers to establish rates, rules and regulations applicable to shipments tendered to them in common carriage under bills of lading such as the one proposed, without any further written agreements with shippers. We recognize that section 14706(c)(1)(A) provides that released rates are triggered by an express declaration or agreement of the shipper. However, that general provision is subject to the language of paragraph (B), which permits carriers to establish released rates in documents they maintain at their facilities, so long as they provide those documents to shippers on request. (At p. 2.)  $^4$ 

None of the contested changes in the USBOL abrogate the law or would warrant or authorize the institution of an investigation by the agency.

#### VI. Conclusion

In response to the questions raised in the STB's August 12, 2016 decision in this proceeding, for the reasons stated above, NMFTA submits that neither Section 13703(a)(5)(A)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Although the shipper conferences parties to that proceeding argued that the quoted language by the STB was "gratuitous," and "traditionally" not part of a decision in an investigation and suspension proceeding, and the agency subsequently removed segments of the prior decision dealing with Section 14706(c)(1)(B) in STB Docket No. ISM 35002, decided July 29, 1998 (not printed), the correctness of the STB's decision regarding the ability of a carrier to establish a released rate in its tariff without shipper agreement cannot be disputed given the clear provision in the statute authorizing such action.

nor Section 14701 creates authority in the agency to investigate the terms and conditions in the Uniform Straight Bill of Lading. The absence of the term "approved" in Section 13703(a)(5)(A) does not subject the USBOL to STB authority as it is a contract of carriage and not an agreement within the categories of activities included in Section 13703. Therefore, it could not be deemed a matter subject to the Section 13703 jurisdiction of the agency, and the presence or absence of the term "approved" has no relevance as to the STB's authority over the USBOL which is subject to federal laws other than the Interstate Commerce Act. Concomitantly, the absence of provisions in the Interstate Commerce Act vesting jurisdiction in the STB over bills of lading terms and conditions evidences the USBOL is not subject to the general investigative powers of the Act, which is confined to matters in violation thereof. Further, and importantly, the STB has acknowledged that issues pertaining to liability and incorporated provisions in the USBOL "must be resolved by the courts," and not the agency.

Finally, the prior STB decision in Motor Carrier Bureaus-Periodic Review Proceeding has no bearing on the authority to investigate the USBOL. That decision was confined to those matters which were within the scope of activities covered under 49 U.S.C. Section 13703, which patently does not include the USBOL, and had no bearing on those activities, such as the USBOL, which were beyond the activities subject to Section 13703.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul G. Levine

Executive Director

National Motor Freight Traffic

Association, Inc.

1001 North Fairfax Street, Suite 600

Paul S. Leving

Alexandria, VA 22314 Tel.: (703) 838-1822

Email: levine@nmfta.org

Dated: September 12, 2016

John R. Bagileo

Law Office of John R. Bagileo

John R. Bagler

15292 Callaway Court

Glenwood, MD 21738

Tel.: (301) 404-8383

Email: jmbagidell@msn.com

Counsel for National Motor Freight

Traffic Association, Inc.

P. S. C .- Pa. No. 1

MF-I. C. C. No. 1 I. C. C. No. 1

### AMERICAN TRUCKING ASSOCIATIONS, INC. TARIFF BUREAU



### NATIONAL MOTOR FREIGHT CLASSIFICATION LTL-1

(East - South - West)

### LESS THAN TRUCK LOAD RATINGS

Applies on LESS THAN TRUCK LOAD Freight Traffic covered by Tariffs made subject hereto.

ISSUED MARCH 2, 1936

EFFECTIVE APRIL 1, 1936

ISSUED AND FILED ONLY FOR THE INDIVIDUAL CARRIERS NAMED HEREIN.

ISSUED BY

C. F. JACKSON, AGENT

1039 INVESTMENT BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D. C.

This classification is distributed to subscribers with the understanding that it will not be given away. Copyright, 1936 by C. F. Jackson

(To be Printed on White Paper)

# UNIFORM MOTOR CARRIER STRAIGHT

BILL OF LADING
Original—Not Negotiable—Domestic

Snipper's No.	
Agent's No	

					Carrier.
14.	RECEIVED, subject to the classifications and t	ariffs in effect of	on the da	te of the i	ssue of this bill of lading,
From_				, Date	193,
At	Oit	y,	(	ounty,	State
					2
Consig	ned to		<del>-</del>		
Destin	ationStreet,	City,		Cot	unty,State
	. No				
Routin	g				
No. Pack- ages	Description of Articles, Special Marks, and Exceptions	#Weight (Subject to Correction)	Class or Rate	Check Column	Subject to Section 7 of conditions, if this shipment is to be delivered to
	V				the consignee without re- course on the consignor,
32					the consignor shall sign the following statement:
					The carrier shall not
					make delivery of this ship- ment without payment of
		1			itroight and all ada 1-
0 0		1	1		The state of the s
					(Signature of consignor)
					If charges are to be pre- paid write or stamp here "To be Prepaid."
- 11		, s c		T. Million and the	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	a 1 a a -				2007 20 30 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
				in the same	Received \$
					to apply in prepayment of the charges on the prop-
					erty described hereon.
		× × × ×	ii.		
			100000000000000000000000000000000000000		Agent or Cashier
					Per
in writir The per to b	te shipment moves between two ports by a carrier by wa all state whether it is "carrier's or shipper's weight." the where the rate is dependent on value, shippents agreed or declared value of the property. It agreed or declared value of the property is here a not exceeding	eby specifically	stated by	A	Charges advanced:
Collection On Delivery \$for account of and remit to:					\$
	City				
	Shippe	r			Agent.
Per		Per			
Perman	ent address of Shipper:	Street,		City,	State

### CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS

See. 1. (a) The currier or party in peaseston of any of the property herein described shall be liable for any loss therefore or damage therefor, except a seen seen and or my of the property herein described shall be liable for any loss therefor or damage therefor, except a seen and or my of the property herein described shall be liable for any loss therefore or delay caused by the occurring after the expiration of age. The carrier's liability fault of God, the publish enemy, the authority of level, or densate, or delay caused by the occurring after the expiration of age. The carrier's liability fault builty on the study the property of the party of the computed as therefore provided and the property for the party entitled to nearly a destination or at the port of ago in the property of the party entitled to nearly a the study of the property of the party entitled to nearly in the property of the party entitled to nearly in the property of the party entitled to nearly in the property of the party entitled to nearly in the property of the party of the property to the party entitled to nearly in the property of the party entitled to nearly in the property of the party of the property of the party entitled to nearly and the property of the party of the party of the property of the party of the party of the party of the property has the party of the par

sup fect acci pro trai per it b

clu due dan fec of per cor be

pe of

See. 5. No carrier hereunder will carry or be liable in any way for any documents, specie, or for any articles of extraordinary value not specifically rated on the published classifications or tariffs unless a special agreement to do so and a stipulated value of the articles are enspecifically rated on the published classifications or tariffs unless a special agreement to do so and a stipulated value of the articles are enspecifically rated on the published classifications or tariffs unless a special agreement to do so and a stipulated value of the carrier against all loss or damage caused by such goods, and such goods may be carrier of their nature, shall be liable for and indemnify the carrier against all loss or damage caused by such goods, and such goods may be carrier of their nature, shall be liable for destroyed without compensation.

Sec. 7. The owner or cours are considered without compensation.

Sec. 8. Every party, whether principal pay the advance charges, packing, transportation and storage, if any, and all other lawful charges specially be authorized to do so, no carrier by motor shall deliver or acruing on said propert of the religit and all other lawful charges, packing, transportation and charges, thereon have been paid. The property of the constant of the property covered by this bill of lading, until all tariff rates and charges thereon have been paid. The property of the property to according to the free of this bill of lading that the carrier shall not may awment, the consignor (except as hereinafter property to a consignee other than the shitpper or consignor, such that the carrier shall not be legally liable for transportation charges in respect property to a consignee other than the shitpper or consignor, such that all the other of the carrier of such additional charges in the property and the carrier of the carrier of the carrier of such additional charges in the consignor of the carrier of the car

7 loss there-

nage thereto tral shrink-xpiration of of the prop-perty for de-urring after cept in case or party in ed and held ne property, cribed shall d by break-

there, as re-discharged, of whatever shall not be authorities id occasion-racy in any ers harmless by this con-

y particular id property lower value property as le maximum

issuing this r delivery of divery, then n two years or any part

cooperage.

on or at the iven for de-rage and to the point of lect to a lien

such goods

rty entitled erty at des-iven for deby the car-dress given, s of the bill of the party at the place tice of sale

ed to receive of notifica-, such noti-

ined in said such man-

torage, and taining the coperty sold

ie risk after ed agent of

ry value not icles are enosure to the

vful charges ill deliver or been paid. n the space uch charges inafter pro-deliver said deliver said sin respect which may o said propice of beneng, has also e shipper or rges. If the ble for such t in part or il of lading.

bill of lad-ill of lading vere written

e performed d States ac-d States ac-it therewith

ssel, or from

(c) If the owner shall have exercised due dilligence in making the vessel in all respects seaworthy and properly manned, equipped, and supplied, no such carrier shall be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the perils of the lakes, seas, or other waters, or from latent defects in hull, machinery, or appurtenances whether existing prior to, at the time of, or after sailing, or from collision, stranding, or other property herein described shall be at liberty to call at any port or ports, in or out of the customary route. to tow and be towed, to transfer, trans-ship, or lighter, to load and discharge goods at any time, to assist vessels in distress, to deviate for the purpose of saving life or property, and for docking and repairs. Except in case of negligence such carrier shall not be responsible for any loss or damage to property if the necessary or is usual to carry the same upon deck.

(d) General Average shall be payable according to the York-Antwerp Rules of 1924, Sections 1 to 15, inclusive, and Sections 17 to 22, inclusive, and as to matters not covered thereby according to the laws and usages of the Port of New York. If the owners shall have exercised due diligence to make the vessel in all respects seaworthy and properly manned, equipped and supplied, it is hereby agreed that in case of danger, damage or disaster resulting from faults or errors in navigation, or in the management of the vessel, for from any latent or other defects in the vessel, her machinery or appurtenances, or from unseaworthiness was not discoverable by the exercise of due diligence, the shippers, consignees and/or owners of the cargo shall nevertheless pay salvage and any special charges incurred in respect of the cargo, and shall contribute with the ship-owner in general average to the payment of any sacrifices, losses or expenses of a general average nature that may be made or incurred for the common benefit or to relieve the adventure from any common peril.

(e) If the property is being carried under a tariff which provid

#### Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that I have this 12th day of September, 2016, served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document by first class mail, postage prepaid, and by electronic means, on counsel for the Transportation & Logistics Council, Inc. and NASSTRAC, and by first class mail, postage prepaid on ODW LTS.

Paul G. Levine

Paul G. Levine